



150 FINANCIAL ANALYST TEAM

TEAM NUMBER _____

RATING SHEET - COMPLETE ONE PER TEAM

PRESENTATION SCORE

Judge 1 (125 points) _____

Judge 2 (125 points) _____

Judge 3 (125 points) _____

Total Judges' Points _____

Divided by # of Judges _____

AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE _____ (125 maximum)

TOTAL SCORE _____ (125 maximum)

RANK

(150) Financial Analyst Team Presentation Scoring Rubric

Required Elements (If any question results in a NO, please assign a score of 0)				
Team followed the topic.				<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N
Team followed the Copyright and/or Fair Use Guidelines.				<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N
If answered no to either question, please stop scoring and provide a brief reason for the <i>disqualification</i> :				
Evaluation Criteria	Below Expectations 0-5 points	Meets Expectations 6-10 points	Above Expectations 11-15 points	Points Awarded
Delivery & Engagement	Team rarely maintains eye contact, uses minimal gestures and body language, or has significant inconsistencies in tone and pace.	Team occasionally breaks eye contact, uses limited gestures and body language, or has some inconsistencies in tone and pace.	Team maintains good eye contact, uses appropriate gestures and body language, and varies their tone and pace adequately.	/15
Organization, Design & Structure	Presentation lacks coherence, and it is unclear how each team member's role contributes to the presentation. Transitions between speakers and/or points are awkward or absent.	Presentation content has some gaps, and it is not always clear how each team member's role contributes to the presentation. Transitions between speakers and/or points are choppy.	Presentation content flows adequately, and each team member's role is clear and integrated into the presentation. Transitions between speakers and/or points are smooth.	/15
Evaluation Criteria	Below Expectations 0-10 points	Meets Expectations 11-30 points	Above Expectations 31-50 points	Points Awarded
Content Knowledge	Presentation demonstrates a limited understanding of the topic and includes mostly irrelevant or inaccurate information.	Presentation demonstrates a basic understanding of the topic and includes a mix of relevant and irrelevant information.	Presentation demonstrates a good understanding of the topic and includes relevant and accurate information.	/50
Evaluation Criteria	Below Expectations 0-9 points	Meets Expectations 10-15 points	Above Expectations 16-20 points	Points Awarded
*Inclusion of On-Site Information	Did not incorporate additional information or made minimal adjustments.	Made appropriate adjustments based on the additional information.	Fully integrated the additional information with insightful adjustments.	/20
Evaluation Criteria	Below Expectations 0-4 points	Meets Expectations 5-7 points	Above Expectations 8-10 points	Points Awarded
Judges' Questions	Responses lack depth and fail to demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of the topic.	Some responses lack detail or clarity. The understanding of the topic is partially demonstrated.	Responses are detailed, insightful, and effectively address the judges' questions.	/10
Timing	Setup lasted no longer than three (3) minutes, presentation lasted no more than ten (10) minutes.			/10
Team Members	At least two original team members were present during the presentation.			/5
TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS				/125

NOTE: This item will only be evaluated at state and national level competitions.

PRESENTATION WILL BE STOPPED AT TEN (10) MINUTES



JUDGES COMMENTS

(150) Financial Analyst Team

Contestant ID | Team Number _____

Judge Number _____

COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)

(Judges: Please provide constructive feedback, highlighting both strengths and areas for improvement in your analysis of the competitor.)

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)